Appendix C - Preston Village Resident Parking Scheme Consultation Report November 2016

Background

The council has received a number of complaints and petitions from residents about parking issues in the Preston Village Area. Parking pressures for local residents, visitors and businesses have increased following the introduction of parking schemes in neighbouring areas.

The council has now prepared proposals for a residents parking scheme in the Preston Village area. Residents were given the option of a full scheme with enforcement from 9am to 8pm or a light touch scheme with different hours of operation (usually 2 separate hours in the day eg 10am to 11am and 2pm to 3pm but specific times are to be agreed). Both options would be available for five or seven days (Monday to Friday or Monday to Sunday). The consultation was open from 3 October to 11 November 2016.

Headline Findings

The consultation achieved a 36.6% response rate.

111 (53.1%) of respondents were in favour of a Residents' Parking Scheme in the area and 98 (46.9%) of respondents were against a Residents' Parking Scheme.

101 (51.3%) favoured a full scheme and 98 (48.7%) a light-touch scheme.

65 (32.8%) favoured a Monday to Sunday scheme and 133 (67.2%) of respondents favoured a Monday to Friday scheme.

Methodology

The Brighton and Hove City Council Land and Property Gazeteer was used to provide 571 property addresses in the proposed scheme boundary for the Preston Village area. An information leaflet, detailed maps, a questionnaire and a prepaid envelope for reply was sent to each address. Respondents were also invited to complete the survey online via the council's Consultation Portal should they wish to. 91.5% of responses were received by mail and 8.5% on line. The consultation was advertised on the council's website, via social media and by a press-release to local media.

Plans could be viewed at an unstaffed exhibition at the: Parking Information Centre, Hove Town Hall: Monday 3 October to Friday 11 November - from 10am to 4.30pm, Monday to Friday and 9am to 1pm on Saturdays.

An officer was also available to take 'phone calls from those who had specific questions about the consultation.

Results

212 valid responses¹ were received from within the proposed scheme boundary giving a response rate of 36.6%.

Q1 Would you like your area to be considered for a residents parking scheme?

Response Base = 209

Yes		No		Total
Number	%	Number %		rotar
111	53.1	98	46.9	209

Q2 If a scheme were to go ahead which option would you prefer?

Response Base = 197

Full scheme	9am to 8pm	Light touch scheme (two periods during the day 10am- 11am and 2pm-3pm for example		Total	
Number	%	Number %			
101	51.3	96	48.7	197	

Q3 If a scheme were introduced would you prefer?

Response Base = 198

Monday t	Monday to Sunday		Monday to Friday	
Number	%	Number %		
65	32.8	133	67.2	197

¹ 18 responses were removed from the analysis for the following reasons: 7 were duplicate cases, 7 were from outside the area and 4 gave no address.

Results on a street by street basis were as follows:

	Number properties mailed Number responses	er ses	responses Response rate %	Yes		No	
Street		Numbe respon		Number	%	Number	%
Clermont Road	59	30	50.8	17	56.7	13	43.3
Clermont Terrace	99	43	43.4	19	44.2	24	55.8
Cumberland Road	70	34	48.6	25	73.5	9	26.5
Home Road	2	1	50.0	0	0	1	100
Lauriston Road	43	21	48.8	17	81.0	4	19.0
Middle Road	43	15	34.9	9	60.0	6	40.0
North Road	35	10	28.6	8	80.0	2	20.0
Preston Road	145	24	16.6	6	25.0	18	75.0
South Road	41	20	48.8	3	15.0	17	85.0
Station Road	34	11	32.4	7	63.0	4	36.4
Total	571	209	36.6	111	53.1	98	46.9

Q4 Respondents were asked whether they are a resident, a business owner or manager or work in the area. (Respondents could tick more than one option).

	Number of
	responses
Resident	183
Business owner or manager	20
Work in the area	13
Other (includes care for people in area, use facilities in area)	0

Q5 How many cars in your household?

No. of cars	Number of responses
0	14
1	109
2	57
3	6
4 or more	2
Total	188

Q6a What type of business do you own or manage in the area?

What type of business?	Number of responses
Retail outlet	3
Office-based	13
Other includes: Dental practice/ lab, hairdresser, property maintenance, psychotherapy, taxi driver	8
Total responses	24

Q6b How many vehicles are directly associated with your business?

No. of vehicles	Number of responses
0	5
1	6
2	3
3	2
4 or more	6
Total	22

Q7 Any further comments?

An open text box enabled respondents to add comments. These comments were grouped together and themed as follows:²

Comments made	Number of times mentioned
No need for a scheme/ Unnecessary	26
In favour because of current parking difficulties/ general positive comments	22
Don't want to pay for parking	12
Problems are caused by too many business vehicles, no room for residents	11
This will stop long term parking	11
Don't want Double yellow lines across driveways	6
Not enough residents parking spaces in this scheme/ will need more than one permit	6
This is a money making exercise	5
Concerned about the cost of visitor parking	4
Have concerns about displacement	4
Scheme needs to be strictly enforced	4
Need employee/ business permits	3
Concerns that the scheme will adversely affect businesses	2
Don't want P&D signs	2
Enforce current illegal parking	2
General negative comments	2
More Pay & Display areas needed	2
Need scheme as soon as possible/ too long to wait	2
Not enough visitor permits	2
Want marked bays	2

² Figures show the amount of times a themed comment was mentioned.

Demographic Information

Gender

Gender	Number	%
Male	72	47.7
Female	78	51.7
Other	1	0.7
Total	151	100

Do you identify as the gender you were assigned at birth?	Number	%
Yes	133	98.5
No	2	1.5
Total	135	100

Age

Age	Number	%
18-24	6	4.3
25-34	24	17.0
35-44	25	17.7
45-54	33	23.4
55-64	20	14.2
65-74	22	15.6
75+	11	7.8
Total	141	100

Disability

Disability	Number	%	
Yes, a little	13	9.3	
Yes, a lot	10	7.1	
No	117	83.6	
Total	140	100	

Of those who answered "yes", disabilities were as follows:

Please state the type of impairment which applies to you.	Number
Physical impairment	6
Sensory impairment	2
Learning disability/ difficulty	0
Long-standing illness	2
Mental health condition	4
Development condition	0
Autistic Spectrum	0
Other	0
Total	14

Ethnicity	Number	%	
	White English/ Welsh/ Scottish/ Northern Irish/ British	132	86.8
White	White Irish	3	2
	Gypsy or Irish Traveller	0	0
	Any other white background	10	6.6
	Bangladeshi	0	0
Asian or	Indian	0	0
Asian British	Pakistani	1	0.7
Asian Dhush	Chinese		0.7
Any other Asian background		2	1.3
Black or	African	1	0.7
Black of Caribbean		1	0.7
DIACK DITUST	Any other Black background	0	0
	Asian & White	0	0
Mixed	Black African & White	0	0
wiixeu	Black Caribbean & White	0	0
	Any other mixed background	1	0.7
Any other	Arab	0	0
ethnic group	Any other ethnic group	0	0
Total		152	100

Sexual Orientation	Number	%
Bisexual	2	1.6
Gay Man	8	6.3
Heterosexual/ straight	113	88.3
Lesbian/ Gay Woman	4	3.1
Other	1	0.8
Total	128	100

Religious Belief	Number	%
I have no particular religion or belief	71	52.2
Buddhist	2	1.5
Christian	41	30.1
Hindu	0	0
Jain	0	0
Jewish	0	0
Muslim	4	2.9
Pagan	1	0.7
Sikh	0	0
Agnostic	2	1.5
Atheist	13	9.6
Other	2	1.5
Other philosophical belief	0	0
Total	136	100

Are you a carer	Number	%
Yes	11	7.9
No	129	92.1
Total	140	100

If yes, do you care for a:	Number
Parent	6
Partner or Spouse	2
Child with special needs	3
Friend	0
Other family member	0
Other	0
Total	11

	Yes		No	
Armed Forces	Number	%	Number	%
Are you currently serving in the UK armed forces?	0	0	122	100
Have you ever served in the UK armed forces?	8	6.4	117	93.6
Are you a member of a current or former serviceman or woman's immediate family/ household?	1	0.8	120	99.2

Appendix

18 responses were removed from the analysis as they fell into the following categories:

- Duplicates (only one responses per household was included)
- Responses from residents outside the area
- Responses where no address was given

There was a 50/50 split of these responses for/ against the introduction of a parking scheme in the Preston Village Area as shown in the table below:

Why removed from		r of parking heme	Not in favour of parking scheme		Total
main report	Number	%	Number	%	
Duplicates	4	57.1	3	42.9	7
Outside the area	4	57.1	3	42.9	7
No address given	3	75.0	1	25.0	4
Total	7	50.0	7	50.0	18